

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,330	04/02/2004	Qi Jia	UNI.26	1136
25871 7590 02/09/2007 SWANSON & BRATSCHUN L.L.C. 1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			WINSTON, RANDALL O	
SUITE 330 HIGHLANDS	RANCH, CO 80129		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 00 00127		1655	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/817,330	JIA, QI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Randall Winston	1655
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		·
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims	*	
4) Claim(s) 19-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
··· _		
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex </li> </ul>	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		·
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 166656566, 1105, 0965, 6995, でくび	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 19-32 in the reply filed on 11/01/2006 is acknowledged. Regarding the species Applicant elects the Free-B-ring flavonoid-baicalin and the Flavan-catechin is acknowledged. Applicant further elects the genus of Scutellaria for isolation and Free-B-ring flavonoid an the genus Acacia and/or species of Acacia catechu is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Examiner has acknowledged that claims 1-18 and 34-45 are cancelled.

Claims 19-32 will be examined on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 22 and 23 recite the limitation "wherein X is selected from". There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1655

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while enabled for a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of diseases and conditions, the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to prepare a pharmaceutical composition for the prevention of diseases and conditions.

The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required are summarized in In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (a) the breadth of the claims; (b) the nature of the invention; © the state of the prior art; (d) the level of one of ordinary skill; (e) the level of predictability in the art; (f) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (g) the existence of working examples; and (h) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

Applicant claims a composition for the prevention of diseases and conditions.

Please note the term prevent is an absolute definition which means to stop from occurring and, as such, requires a higher standard for enablement than the instantly disclosed invention. Applicant has only demonstrated in the experiment section on pages 35-50, in Applicant's examples, of the specification a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of diseases and conditions. Applicant's specification, however, fail to provide guidance and/or working examples whereby applicant prepares a pharmaceutical composition for the prevention of diseases and conditions. Accordingly,

Art Unit: 1655

it will take undue experimentation without reasonable expectation of success for one of skill in the art to prepare a pharmaceutical composition for the prevention of diseases and conditions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu (US 6,083,921) in view of Zhou (US 6,319,523).

Applicant claims a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of diseases and conditions comprising Free-B-ring flavonoid (i.e. baicalin), Flavans (i.e. catechin) and excipients in various amounts.

Xu teaches (see, e.g. abstract, claims, especially claim 1 and 7) a pharmaceutical composition comprising baicalin (i.e. the baicalin is extracted from *Scutellaria*) and excipients used within a pharmaceutical composition used for antibacterial purposes. Xu, however, does not expressly teach the Flavan of catechin included within its pharmaceutical composition used for antibacterial purposes.

Zhou benefically teaches (see, e.g. abstract, claims, especially claims 1 and 5) catechin (i.e. the catechin is extracted from *Acacia catechu*) contained within a pharmaceutical composition used for antibacterial purposes.

Art Unit: 1655

One of ordinary skill in the art of creating the claimed invention pharmaceutical composition would have been motivated to modify Xu's pharmaceutical composition to include the other active ingredient as taught in Zhou because the above combined two references would create an improved pharmaceutical used for antibacterial purposes. Moreover, as discussed in MPEP Section 2114.06, "it is prima facie obvious to combine two or more compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to used for the same purpose..."

The adjustments of other conventional working conditions (i.e. the formed administered and in what amounts), is deemed a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Please note, the intended use of the above claimed composition (i.e. the pharmaceutical composition to treat various diseases and conditions) does not patentably distinguish the composition, per se, since such undisclosed use is inherent in the reference composition. In order to be limiting, the intended use must create a structural difference between the claimed composition and the prior art composition. In the instant case, the intended use does not create a structural difference, thus the intended use is not limiting (see, e.g., MPEP 2112).

Art Unit: 1655

Please note that the patentability of a product (i.e. in claims 24-29) does not depend upon the method of production. If the product in a product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, then the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process" (see, e.g. MPEP 2113).

Applicant is advised that the <u>cited U.S.</u> patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all U.S.</u> patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov</u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources be referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html</a> or 1-866-217-9197.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1655

Page 7

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHELE FLOOD

PRIMARY EXAMINER